associations which are engaged in anti-Indian activities in the name of socioeconomic development projects in India;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) what measures exist in the Foreign Contribution (Regulation) Act, 1976 as at present and those proposed to check such flow of foreign money for anti-national activities?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI RAJESH PILOT): (a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Central Government has the powers under Section 10 of the Act to prohibit any association or person from accepting foreign contribution or require any association to obtain prior permission for accepting foreign contribution.

Arrest of Pakistan Spies

2825. SHRIMATI CHANDRIKA ABHINANDAN JAIN: Will the" Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of Parkistani ..pies arrested in India particularly in Kutch District of Gujarat during 1992 and so far in 1993.

(b) what action has been taken by the authorities and where they have been kept; and

(c) whether they have been associated with any Indian?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI RAJESH PILOT): (a) to (c) As per information received from the State Government, no Pakistani spy was arrested in Kutch District of Gujarat during 1992 and so far in 1993. Action is taken against persons arrested on charges of indulging in espionage/suspected espionage activities under Official Secrets \ Act, 1923, Indian Penal Code etc. It may not be in public interest to disclose further details in this regard on the floor of the House.

Trauma for Couple

2826. SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: W the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS 1 pleased to state:

(a) whether Govt.'s attention has be drawn to the news item captioned "Nig of trauma for. runway couple" whic appeared in the Times of India on 7 October, 1993;

(b) if so, whether any enquiry h been ordered in the matter;

(c) if so, whether any one was four guilty; and

(d) what action Government hav initiated against the concerned officials

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN TH MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIR (SHRI P.M. SAYEED): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (d). The Delhi Police hi reported that on 7.8.1993, a complaii was made by one Shri N.D. Gupt resident of Prasad Nagar, that his mine daughter had been kidnapped by or Ravi Dutt. A criminal case wa accordingly registered and investigatic was entrusted to the special staff. Sh Ravi Dutt applied for anticipatory bail i the Court of Additional Sessions Judi] on 16.8.93. The anticipatory bail wi cancelled by the Court on 1.9.1993 a neither Ravi Dutt nor the concerned gi joined investigation nor they appeared i the Court Subsequently, the Counsel c Shri Ravi Dutt produced a copy of th birth certificate of the girl filed in th school which showed that she was moi than 18 years of age. On the request c the police, the case was cancelled by th Court on 7.10.93. Meanwhile, the coup] had filed a writ petiton in the High Coui and the Court directed that they shoul not be harassed by the police and th: they should be provided security. N security could be provided as their hous was found by the police to be locked o 5th October. That very day someone ran up the Police Station (Prasad Nagar) the the accused in the kidnapping case (Shi Dutt) was available at House No. *At*

Block No. Dev Nagar. The Duty Officer informed the office. on Emergency Duty who went to verify the information at the given address. The officer on Emergency Duty was not aware that the case had already been sent for cancellation as the investigation had been carried out by the Special Staff. The couple was brought to the police station. The Police were informed about the orders of the High Court. After making enquiries from the Special Staff who were entrusted with the investigation of the case, the couple was allowed to go. The police has reported that they had no vested interest in the case and were acting in a bona-fide manner.

Boundary Dispute between Maharashtra and Karnataka

2827. SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have any proposal to find out a solution to the long pending dispute of Maharashtra-Karnataka boundary;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P.M. SAYEED): (a) to (c) The Government is of the view that this dispute has to be resolved by the two State Governments, through bilateral discussions with a spirit of mutual accommodation. The Central Government is willing to extend all possible assistance to the two States in achieving this goal.

सीमा सुरक्षा बल के कार्मिकों को रेगिस्तान भत्ता प्रदान किया जाना

2828. श्री सुशीलकुमार संभाजीराव शिन्दे: क्या गृह मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या सरकार रेगिस्तानों में तैनात सीमा सुरक्षा बल के कार्मिकों को 100 रुपये प्रतिमाह का रेगिस्तान भत्ता प्रदान करने का विचार रखती है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है; और

(ग) यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं?

गृह मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री राजेश पायलट): (क) जी नहीं, श्रीमान्।

(ख) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

(ग) कार्य की आवश्यकताओं, अपेक्षित कार्य कौशलता, इत्यादि तथा वेतन आयोगों की सिफारिशों के आधार पर सीमा सुरक्षा बल के कार्मिकों के वेतन और भत्तों का समय-समय पर निर्धारण किया जाता है।

हिमाचल प्रदेश को मुआवजा

2829. श्री महेश्वर सिंह:
श्री कृष्ण लाल शर्मा:

क्या गृह मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि वर्ष 1966 में पंजाब के कुछ क्षेत्र हिमाचल प्रदेश को स्थानान्तरित किए गए थे, यदि हां, तो क्या भारत सरकार का हिमाचल प्रदेश को, उसके द्वारा 1966 से पूर्व भवनों के निर्माण और चण्डीगढ़ क्षेत्र के विकास पर खर्च की गई राशि के अनुपात में कुछ धन राशि देने का इरादा है;

(ख) यदि हां, इस संबंध में ब्यौरा क्या है; और

(ग) यदि नहीं, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं?

गृह मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री पी॰एम॰ सईद): (क) से (ग) वर्ष 1966 में तत्कालीन पंजाब राज्य के पुनर्गठन के फलस्वरूप इस राज्य के कुछ भागों का स्थानान्तरण पहले केन्द्र शासित क्षेत्र हिमाचल प्रदेश को कर दिया गया था। भारत सरकार का यह विचार है कि चण्डीगढ़ पर विवाद मूलतः पंजाब और हरियाणा के प्रादेशिक दावों के कारण उत्पन्न हुआ है न कि चण्डीगढ़ में सरकारी सम्पत्ति को बांटने पर। अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश को एक अनुपातिक भाग अथवा इस बारे में किसी प्रकार का मुआवजा देने का प्रश्न नहीं उठता। यह स्थिति हिमाचल प्रदेश की सरकार को पहले ही स्पष्ट की जा चुकी है।